



PTO/SB/21 (09-06)

Approved for use through 03/31/2007. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**TRANSMITTAL
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

3

Application Number

10/601,720

Filing Date

June 23, 2003

First Named Inventor

Morris et al.

Art Unit

3743

Examiner Name

Teena Kay Mitchell

Attorney Docket Number

12873.04604

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)

Fee Transmittal Form



Fee Attached



Amendment/Reply



After Final



Affidavits/declaration(s)



Extension of Time Request



Express Abandonment Request



Information Disclosure Statement



Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)

Reply to Missing Parts/
Incomplete ApplicationReply to Missing Parts
under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

Drawing(s)



Licensing-related Papers



Petition

Petition to Convert to a
Provisional ApplicationPower of Attorney, Revocation
Change of Correspondence Address

Terminal Disclaimer



Request for Refund



CD, Number of CD(s) _____

☐ Landscape Table on CD

After Allowance Communication to TC

Appeal Communication to Board
of Appeals and InterferencesAppeal Communication to TC
(Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)

Proprietary Information



Status Letter

Other Enclosure(s) (please identify
below):

- Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance
- Check \$1,700
- Return Receipt Postcard

Remarks

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm Name

Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP

Signature

Printed name

Alan C. Brandt

Date

November 8, 2007

Reg. No.

50,218

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature

Typed or printed name

Robin D. Burger

Date

November 8, 2006

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: **Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.



Certificate of Transmission/Mailing

I hereby certify that this CORRESPONDENCE is being facsimile transmitted or deposited with the U.S. Postal Service, with sufficient postage, as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on this 8th day of November, 2006.

Robin D. Burger

Name of Person Signing (Type or Print)

Signed: R D B

Customer Number
24024

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Morris et al.

Examiner: Teena Kay Mitchell

Serial No.: 10/601,720

Group Art Unit: 3743

Filed: June 23, 2003

Confirmation No.: 8692

For: **SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR
PROVIDING A BREATHING
GAS**

Attorney Docket No.: 12873.04604

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The applicants gratefully acknowledge the indication as to the allowance of the present application. However the applicants respectfully submit that the Statement of Reasons for Allowance are, in and of themselves, inappropriate. It is noted that reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear his or her reasons for allowing a claim or claims." (37 CFR §1.104(e)). In the present case, the applicants believe the record as a whole does make the reasons for allowance clear and,

Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance
Serial No. 10/601,720

therefore, no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, the applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance.

Specifically, it has been indicated that the claims are allowed by importing interpretations into the claims in relation to the prior art that results in a potential imprecise and/or inaccurate understanding of the reasons. This places an unwarranted interpretation upon the claims. Such a characterization of the claims does not properly take into account the applicants' claimed invention as reflected in the specification and the applicants' responses to Office Actions. Therefore, while the applicants believe the claims are allowable, the applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides in only the features, exactly as expressed in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 8 November 2006



Alan C. Brandt, Reg. No. 50,218
(216) 622-8568
(216) 241-0816 facsimile